

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,482	•	03/30/2001	Erik Cota-Robles	042392.P9774	5734
8791	759	0 08/12/2005		EXAMINER	
		KOLOFF TAYLOR	ALI, SYED J		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2195 DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/823,482	COTA-ROBLES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Syed J. Ali	2195	

		2130	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followlaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	itutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)
	oliomaa with 27 OED 44 27 must be		45645
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		·	because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: None.		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) anowed: <u>None.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10,11,13-26,28-31,33-40,42 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .	<u>44</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	ž.	A.	
	je,	MENG-ALCTARY SORY PATENT EXAMI	
		sory patent exami Blogy Center 210	

MC

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Bugnion cannot anticipate the claimed invention because the claims require "a driver of a soft device" in a "virtual machine monitor." This is not an accurate characterization of the claims. The claims recite that the driver of a soft device is "implemented" in the virtual machine monitor. The driver does not have to be stored within the virtual machine monitor. For the driver to be implemented, it simply must be given practical application to fulfill a desired purpose. This argument had been previously presented in the response dated March 17, 2005, and addressed in the final rejection dated June 1, 2005 (see paragraph 18).